

# Explicit representations of biorthogonal polynomials

Arieh Iserles<sup>1</sup> and Syvert P. Nørsett<sup>2</sup>

**Abstract** Given a parametrised weight function  $\omega(x, \mu)$  such that the quotients of its consecutive moments are Möbius maps, it is possible to express the underlying biorthogonal polynomials in a closed form [5]. In the present paper we address ourselves to two related issues. Firstly, we demonstrate that, subject to additional assumptions, every such  $\omega$  obeys (in  $x$ ) a linear differential equation whose solution is a generalized hypergeometric function. Secondly, using a generalization of standard divided differences, we present a new explicit representation of the underlying orthogonal polynomials.

AMS (MOS) *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42C05; Secondary 33C45.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Applied Mathematics and Theoretical Physics, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, England.

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Norwegian Institute of Technology, Trondheim, Norway.

## 1 Introduction

Let us consider a one-parametric family of weight functions  $\omega(x, \mu) \geq 0$ ,  $x \geq 0$ ,  $\mu_1 < \mu < \mu_+$ . We define a *biorthogonal polynomial*  $p_n \in \mathbb{P}_n \times (\mu_-, \mu_+)^n$ ,  $p_n \not\equiv 0$ , by means of the orthogonality relations

$$\int_0^1 p_n(x; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) \omega(x, \mu_\ell) dx = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad (1.1)$$

where  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$  are distinct. (Here – and elsewhere in this paper –  $\mathbb{P}_n$  denotes the set of all  $n$ th degree polynomials.)

The theory of general biorthogonal polynomials has been described in detail in [4] and further developments have been reported in [5, 6]. It possesses several interesting applications, ranging from numerical methods for ordinary differential equations [3, 8] to approximation theory [10] to location of zeros of polynomial transformations and orthogonal expansions [5, 9, 11]. An exposition of biorthogonal functions and their applications features in Claude Brezinski's monograph [1]. Herewith we revisit these elements of the theory that are germane to the work of the present paper.

Biorthogonal polynomials exist and are unique (up to a nonzero multiplicative constant) if and only if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{m}_0(\mu_1) & \mathbf{m}_1(\mu_1) & \cdots & \mathbf{m}_{m-1}(\mu_1) \\ \mathbf{m}_0(\mu_2) & \mathbf{m}_1(\mu_2) & \cdots & \mathbf{m}_{m-1}(\mu_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \mathbf{m}_0(\mu_m) & \mathbf{m}_1(\mu_m) & \cdots & \mathbf{m}_{m-1}(\mu_m) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

for every distinct  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \in (\mu_-, \mu_+)$ , where

$$\mathbf{m}_\ell(\mu) = \int_0^\infty x^\ell \omega(x, \mu) dx$$

is the  $\ell$ th moment of  $\omega$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  [4]. The zeros of  $p_n$  need not, in general, reside in  $(0, \infty)$ . However, all the zeros are in the support if  $\omega$  is *strictly sign consistent (SSC)*, i.e. if

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} \omega(x_1, \mu_1) & \omega(x_2, \mu_1) & \cdots & \omega(x_m, \mu_1) \\ \omega(x_1, \mu_2) & \omega(x_2, \mu_2) & \cdots & \omega(x_m, \mu_2) \\ \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \omega(x_1, \mu_m) & \omega(x_2, \mu_m) & \cdots & \omega(x_m, \mu_m) \end{bmatrix} \neq 0$$

for every  $n \geq 1$  and all monotone sequences  $0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ ,  $\mu_- < \mu_1 < \mu_2 < \cdots < \mu_n < \mu_+$  [4].

Suppose that  $p_n(\cdot; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n)$  is explicitly known for every distinct  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n \in (\mu_-, \mu_+)$  and that this explicit form can be extended to all  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n \in \mathbb{R}$ .<sup>3</sup> In other words, given an arbitrary  $n$ -tuple  $[\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n] \in \mathbb{R}^d$  we can produce a  $p_n$  or, rephrasing again, we explicitly know a transformation  $\mathfrak{T} : \mathbb{P}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_n$  such that

$$\mathfrak{T} \left\{ \prod_{\ell=1}^n (\cdot - \mu_\ell) \right\} = p_n(\cdot; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n). \quad (1.2)$$

---

<sup>3</sup>It is immaterial whether the definition of  $\omega$  can be continued to all  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .

If, in addition, we know that  $\omega$  is SSC – hence that all zeros of  $p_n$  reside in  $(0, \infty)$  – we deduce that  $\mathfrak{T}$  maps polynomials with all their zeros in  $(\mu_-, \mu_+)$  into polynomials with all their zeros in  $(0, \infty)$  [5].

To date, the most efficacious mechanism for the generation of an explicit form of  $p_n$  has been presented in [5], leading to a long list of interesting transformations of the form (1.2). Thus, having normalized  $\mathfrak{m}_0(\mu) \equiv 1$ , we assume that there exist real sequences  $\{\alpha_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ ,  $\{\beta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ ,  $\{\gamma_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  and  $\{\delta_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  such that

$$\alpha_k \delta_\ell - \beta_k \delta_\ell \neq 0, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \ell, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \quad (1.3)$$

and

$$\mathfrak{m}_n(\mu) = \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \frac{\alpha_\ell + \mu \beta_\ell}{\gamma_\ell + \mu \delta_\ell}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

In other words,

$$\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}(\mu) = \frac{\alpha_n + \beta_n \mu}{\gamma_n + \delta_n \mu} \mathfrak{m}_n(\mu), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (1.4)$$

We call weight functions that possess property (1.4) *Möbius quotient functions (MQF)*.

It has been proved in [5] that, as long as  $\omega$  is a Möbius quotient function, the transformation (1.2) assumes the form

$$\mathfrak{T} \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^n f_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} g_j(x) \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} h_j(x) \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k x^k, \quad (1.5)$$

where

$$g_k(\mu) = \alpha_k + \mu \beta_k, \quad h_k(\mu) = \gamma_k + \mu \delta_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

and where  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$  are arbitrary.

Several MQFs that share strict sign consistency have been presented in [5], for example  $\omega_1(x, \mu) = x^\mu$ ,  $\omega_2(x, \mu) = \mu^x$  and  $\omega_3(x, \mu) = x^{\log_q \mu}$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$ . Moreover, the theme of [8] was the identification of all possible weight functions  $\alpha(x)$  such that  $\omega_j(x, \mu)\alpha(x)$  is MQF and SSC for some  $j \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . The present paper takes yet another step toward our ultimate goal of identifying all MQFs.

We commence our analysis in Section 2 with the assumption that the coefficients  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$ ,  $\gamma_n$  and  $\delta_n$  are linear in  $n$ . This yields a linear ordinary differential equation with polynomial coefficients that must be satisfied by  $\omega$  and that can be solved explicitly. We demonstrate that, up to a transformation of variables, nothing can be gained beyond a known transformation from [5].

In Section 3 we expand our framework to polynomial coefficients  $\alpha_n$ ,  $\beta_n$ ,  $\gamma_n$ ,  $\delta_n$ . The weight function  $\omega$  must again obey a linear ordinary differential equation, which we solve explicitly in terms of a generalized hypergeometric function.

Finally, in Section 4 we return to the representation (1.5), in order to derive an alternative form of the transformation and of the underlying biorthogonal polynomial. Let

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (x - \mu_k)}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\gamma_k + x \delta_k)}. \quad (1.6)$$

We prove that

$$p_n(x; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k] x^k,$$

where  $\tilde{q}_k = \tilde{q}(-\alpha_k/\beta_k)$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , and  $F_0, F_1, \dots$  are a generalization of the familiar divided differences.

## 2 Linear Möbius quotient functions

Let us suppose that the moment sequence of the weight function  $\omega$  obeys (1.4) with

$$\alpha_n = a_0 - na_1, \quad \beta_n = b_0 - nb_1, \quad \gamma_n = c_0 - nc_1, \quad \delta_n = d_0 - nd_1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \quad (2.1)$$

We further assume that  $\omega(\cdot, \mu) \in C^1[0, \infty)$  and that  $\omega(0, \mu) \equiv 0$  – the last assumption does not lead to severe loss of generality, since it can be always forced by shifting  $x \mapsto x + \varepsilon$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ , smoothly continuing  $\omega$  for  $x \in [0, \varepsilon)$  so that it vanishes at the origin and ultimately driving  $\varepsilon$  to zero. We say that  $\omega$  is a *linear* MQF.<sup>4</sup>

We rewrite (1.4) in the form

$$(\gamma_n + \beta_n \mu) \int_0^\infty x^{n+1} \omega(x, \mu) dx = (\alpha_n + \beta_n \mu) \int_0^\infty x^n \omega(x, \mu) dx, \quad \mu_- < \mu < \mu_+, \quad (2.2)$$

multiply by  $t^n$  and sum for  $n = 0, 1, \dots$ . The outcome is the identity

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^\infty \{(a_0 - c_0 x) + \mu(b_0 - d_0 x)\} \omega(x, \mu) e^{-tx} dx \\ &= -t \int_0^\infty \{(a_1 - c_1 x) + \mu(b_1 - d_1 x)\} x \omega(x, \mu) e^{-tx} dx. \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

Let

$$\omega_\ell(x, \mu) = x^\ell \{(a_\ell - c_\ell x) + \mu(b_\ell - d_\ell x)\} \omega(x, \mu), \quad \ell = 0, 1, \quad \mu \in (\mu_-, \mu_+). \quad (2.4)$$

We can thus rewrite (2.2) as

$$\mathcal{L}\{\omega_0(\cdot, \mu)\}(t) = -t \mathcal{L}\{\omega_1(\cdot, \mu)\}(t),$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  is the Laplace transform. However, for every  $f \in C^1$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}\{f'\}(t) = t \mathcal{L}\{f\}(t) - f(0)$$

and we use the smoothness of  $\omega$  and  $\omega_1(0, \mu) \equiv 0$  to argue that

$$\mathcal{L}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega_1(\cdot, \mu)\right\}(t) = \mathcal{L}\{\omega_0(\cdot, \mu)\}(t).$$

Taking inverse transforms, we thus deduce that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega_1(x, \mu) = -\omega_0(x, \mu), \quad x \geq 0, \quad \mu \in (\mu_+, \mu_-). \quad (2.5)$$

---

<sup>4</sup>The phrase ‘linear’ refers, of course, to the coefficients  $\alpha_n, \beta_n$  etc., not to  $\omega$  itself!

We finally substitute the explicit values of  $\omega_0$  and  $\omega_1$  from (2.3), and this yields a linear ordinary differential equation for  $\omega$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & x\{(a_1 + \mu b_1) - (c_1 + \mu d_1)x\} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega(x, \mu) \\ & + \{[(a_0 + \mu b_0) + (a_1 + \mu b_1)] - [(c_0 + \mu d_0) + 2(c_1 + \mu d_1)]x\} \omega(x, \mu). \end{aligned} \quad (2.6)$$

This can be solved and, in tandem with the initial condition  $\omega(0, \mu) \equiv 0$ , results in a unique value of  $\omega$ .

For example, letting

$$\alpha_n = n, \quad \beta_n \equiv 1, \quad \gamma_n = n + 1, \quad \delta_n \equiv 1, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

we obtain

$$a_0 = 0, \quad a_1 = -1, \quad b_0 = 1, \quad b_1 = 0, \quad c_0 = 1, \quad c_1 = -1, \quad d_0 = 1, \quad d_1 = 0$$

and (2.6) becomes

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \omega(x, \mu) = \frac{\mu - 1}{x} \omega(x, \mu),$$

with the solution (up to a multiplicative constant)  $\omega(x, \mu) = x^{\mu-1}$  (where  $x > 0$ ,  $\mu > -1$ ) – the Jacobi transformation (with  $\alpha = 0$ ) from [5].

With greater generality, we observe that, up to a multiplicative constant, the nonzero solution of

$$x(\sigma_0 - \sigma_1 x)y' + (\rho_0 - \rho_1 x)y = 0, \quad y(0) = 0,$$

is

$$y(x) = x^{-\rho_0/\sigma_0} (\sigma_0 - \sigma_1 x)^{\rho_0/\sigma_0 - \rho_1/\sigma_1}$$

– subject, of course, to the above expression being well defined, i.e. to  $\rho_0/\sigma_0 < 0$  and either

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_0} \geq \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1}, \quad \sigma_0 \geq \sigma_1 x$$

or

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_0} \leq \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1}, \quad \sigma_0 < \sigma_1 x$$

In our case

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_0} = 1 + \frac{a_0 + \mu b_0}{a_1 + \mu b_1},$$

hence  $\rho_0/\sigma_0 < 0$  is equivalent to

$$\frac{a_0 + \mu b_0}{a_1 + \mu b_1} + 1 < 0.$$

Moreover,

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_0} - \frac{\rho_1}{\sigma_1} = -1 + \frac{a_0 + \mu b_0}{a_1 + \mu b_1} - \frac{c_0 + \mu d_0}{c_1 + \mu d_1}.$$

Particular substitutions readily yield an explicit form of  $\omega$ . For example, we can use

$$\mathbf{a} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{b} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{c} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{d} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{bmatrix},$$

and this results in

$$\omega(x, \mu) = x^{1-\frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad \mu > 1.$$

More general is a choice of  $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$  and  $\mathbf{d}$  so that  $\omega(x, \mu) = cx^{\nu(\mu)}$  for some  $c > 0$  and a function  $\nu(\mu) \not\equiv \text{const}$ . Thus, we need  $\rho_0\sigma_1 = \rho_1\sigma_0$  and this, after some manipulation, results in

$$\begin{aligned} a_1c_0 &= (a_0 - a_1)c_1, \\ b_1d_0 &= (b_0 - b_1)d_1, \\ (a_0b_1 - a_1b_0)(a_1d_1 - b_1c_1) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose first that  $a_0b_1 = a_1b_0$ . Then

$$\frac{\rho_0}{\sigma_0} \equiv 1 + \frac{a_0}{a_1},$$

hence  $\nu \equiv \text{const}$ , a contradiction. We thus deduce that  $a_1d_1 = b_1c_1$ . By letting  $c_1 = \kappa a_1$  we deduce the explicit expression

$$d_1 = b_1\kappa, \quad c_0 = (a_0 - a_1)\kappa, \quad d_0 = (b_0 - b_1)\kappa, \quad (2.7)$$

where  $\kappa \neq 0$  is arbitrary – as are  $a_0, a_1, b_0$  and  $b_1$ . We deduce from (2.7) that

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= a_0 - a_1n, \\ \beta_n &= b_0 - b_1n, \\ \gamma_n &= \kappa(a_0 - a_1) - \kappa a_1n, \\ \delta_n &= \kappa(b_0 - b_1) - \kappa b_1n, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\mathbf{m}_{n+1}(\mu)}{\mathbf{m}_n(\mu)} &= \kappa^{-1} \frac{(a_0 - a_1n) + (b_0 - b_1n)\mu}{((a_0 - a_1) - a_1n) + ((b_0 - b_1) - b_1n)\mu}, \\ \nu(\mu) &= -1 - \frac{a_0 + \mu b_0}{a_1 + \mu b_1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

We deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} g_n(\mu) &= -(a_1 + b_1\mu) \left( -\frac{a_0 + b_0\mu}{a_1 + b_1\mu} + n \right), \\ h_n(\mu) &= -\kappa(a_1 + b_1\mu) \left( -\frac{a_0 + b_0\mu}{a_1 + b_1\mu} + n + 1 \right), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,  $a_1b_0 \neq a_0b_1$  readily implies (1.3).

The function  $\nu(\mu)$  being strictly monotone, we deduce strict sign consistency of  $\omega$  from strict sign consistency of the function  $x^\mu$  [12, 13]. Moreover,

$$\prod_{j=0}^{k-1} g_j(x) \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} h_j(x) = (-1)^{n+1} \kappa^{n-k} (a_1 + b_1 x)^n \frac{\left(-\frac{a_0+b_0x}{a_1+b_1x}\right)_{n+1}}{k - \frac{a_0+b_0x}{a_1+b_1x}}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, n,$$

where

$$(a)_n = a(a+1)(a+2) \cdots (a+n-1), \quad a \in \mathbb{C}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

is the familiar *Pochhammer symbol*. Substitution in (1.5) thus yields the transformation

$$\mathfrak{T} \left\{ \left( \prod_{\ell=0}^n ((a_1 + b_1 x)\ell - (a_0 + b_0 x)) \right) \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\kappa^{-k} f_k}{(a_1 + b_1 x)k - (a_0 + b_0 x)} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k x^k.$$

This, however, can be converted, by a change of variable, to the jacobi transformation with  $\alpha = 0$  [5], which can be rendered in the form

$$\mathfrak{T} \left\{ (x)_{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{f_k}{k+x} \right\} = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k x^k.$$

The case  $\rho_0 \sigma_1 \neq \rho_1 \sigma_0$  can be resolved explicitly by similar techniques.

### 3 Polynomial Möbius quotient functions

The approach of the last section can be generalized to cater for a more extensive family of MQFs. Thus, suppose that the coefficients can be expanded in the form

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_n &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell (-n)_\ell, \\ \beta_n &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_\ell (-n)_\ell, \\ \gamma_n &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell (-n)_\ell, \\ \delta_n &= \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} d_\ell (-n)_\ell, \end{aligned}$$

and that the functions

$$a(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} a_\ell z^\ell, \quad b(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} b_\ell z^\ell, \quad c(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} c_\ell z^\ell, \quad d(z) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} d_\ell z^\ell$$

are analytic about the point  $z = 0$ . We further assume that  $\omega(\cdot, \mu) \in C^\infty[0, \infty)$  and that

$$\frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\ell} \omega(0, \mu) \equiv 0, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots \quad (3.1)$$

The last condition, in tandem with infinite differentiability, is restrictive. This can be alleviated along the lines of the last section, by letting

$$\omega_\varepsilon(x, \mu) = \begin{cases} \omega(x - \varepsilon, \mu) & : \varepsilon \leq x, \\ \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \theta_\ell e^{-(\ell+1)x^{-2}} & : 0 < x \leq \varepsilon, \end{cases}$$

where  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots$  are chosen so as to ensure infinite differentiability at  $x = \varepsilon$ ,<sup>5</sup> and letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  by the end of the procedure. The situation is simplified if – as we do further in this section – it is assumed that  $a, b, c$  and  $d$  are polynomials, since there it is enough to insist that  $\omega(\cdot, \mu) \in C^r[0, \infty)$  and that (3.1) holds for  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ , where

$$s = \max\{\deg a, \deg b, \deg c, \deg d\}.$$

We again multiply (2.2) by  $t^n$  and sum up for all integer nonnegative  $n$ . This results in the identity

$$\int_0^\infty x\{c(tx) + \mu d(tx)\}\omega(x, \mu)e^{-tx} dx = \int_0^\infty \{a(tx) + \mu b(tx)\}\omega(x, \mu)e^{-tx} dx. \quad (3.2)$$

Expanding

$$x\{c(tx) + \mu d(tx)\} \quad \text{and} \quad \{a(tx) + \mu b(tx)\}$$

in powers of  $t$ , we deduce from (3.2) that

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} t^\ell \int_0^\infty \omega_\ell(x, \mu)e^{-tx} dx = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

where  $\omega_\ell$  has been given by (2.4). Since (2.4) and (3.1) combine to produce

$$\frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} \omega_\ell(0, \mu) = 0, \quad j = 0, 1, \dots, \ell-1,$$

we conclude that

$$t^\ell \int_0^\infty \omega_\ell(x, \mu)e^{-tx} dx = \mathcal{L} \left\{ \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\ell} \omega_\ell(x, \mu) \right\} (t), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Taking inverse transforms, (3.3) becomes

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{\partial^\ell}{\partial x^\ell} \omega_\ell(x, \mu) = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Let us set

$$P_\ell(\mu) = a_\ell + b_\ell \mu, \quad Q_\ell(\mu) = c_\ell + d_\ell \mu, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

therefore

$$\omega_\ell(x, \mu) = (P_\ell(\mu) - Q_\ell(\mu)x)x^\ell \omega(x, \mu), \quad \ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

---

<sup>5</sup>The existence of such coefficients follows easily from the Müntz theorem [2].



Substitution into (3.4) and differentiation with the Leibnitz rule result in

$$\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{j!(j+1)!} \left( (j+1) \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{\ell+j}(\mu)}{\ell!} - x \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+j+1) \frac{Q_{\ell+j}(\mu)}{\ell!} \right) x^j \frac{\partial^j}{\partial x^j} \omega(x, \mu) = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Note that both

$$\mathfrak{p}_j(\mu) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{\ell+j}(\mu)}{\ell!} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_{\ell+j}}{\ell!} + \mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_{\ell+j}}{\ell!}$$

and

$$\mathfrak{q}_j(\mu) := \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+j+1) \frac{Q_{\ell+j}(\mu)}{\ell!} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+j+1) \frac{c_{\ell+j}}{\ell!} + \mu \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} (\ell+j+1) \frac{d_{\ell+j}}{\ell!}$$

are linear in  $\mu$ .

We henceforth assume that  $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{P}_s[\mu]$ , designating the underlying  $\omega$  as *polynomial* MQF. Therefore (3.5) is an ordinary differential equation of degree  $s$ , equipped with zero initial conditions at the origin.

The equation (3.5) is a special case of the  $s$ -degree ODE

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^s (p_{\ell} - q_{\ell} x) x^{\ell} y^{(\ell)} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

We express the solution of (3.6) in the form

$$y(x) = x^{\theta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} y_n x^n.$$

Substitution into (3.6) and elementary manipulation affirm that, for arbitrary  $y_0$ ,  $\theta$  must be a zero of

$$\sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^{\ell} p_{\ell} (-\theta)_{\ell} = 0 \quad (3.7)$$

(thus, provided that  $p_s \neq 0$ , there are  $s$  solutions in  $\mathbb{C}$ , the right number!), whereas the coefficients  $y_n$  are obtained from the recurrence

$$y_n = \frac{\sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^{\ell} q_{\ell} (-n+1-\theta)_{\ell}}{\sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^{\ell} p_{\ell} (-n-\theta)_{\ell}} y_{n-1}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.8)$$

Let

$$P(x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^{\ell} p_{\ell} (-x-\theta)_{\ell}, \quad Q(x) := \sum_{\ell=0}^s (-1)^{\ell} q_{\ell} (-\theta-x)_{\ell}.$$

Therefore, (3.7) is equivalent to  $P(0) = 0$ , whereas (3.8) converts to

$$y_n = \frac{Q(n-1)}{P(n)} y_{n-1} = y_0 \prod_{\ell=1}^n \frac{Q(\ell-1)}{P(\ell)}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Suppose that

$$P(x) = p_* \prod_{j=1}^s (x - \eta_j), \quad Q(x) = q_* \prod_{j=1}^s (x - \zeta_j),$$

where, without loss of generality,  $\eta_s = 0$  (recall that  $P(0) = 0$ ). Then

$$\prod_{\ell=1}^n P(\ell) = p_*^n \prod_{j=1}^s (1 - \eta_j)_n, \quad \prod_{\ell=0}^{n-1} Q(\ell) = q_*^n \prod_{j=1}^s (-\zeta_j)_n$$

therefore

$$y_n = \left( \frac{q_*}{p_*} \right)^n \frac{\prod_{j=1}^s (-\zeta_j)_n}{\prod_{j=1}^s (1 - \eta_j)_n} y_0, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.$$

Letting, without loss of generality,  $y_0 = 1$ , we deduce that

$$y(x) = x^\theta {}_sF_{s-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} -\zeta_1, -\zeta_2, \dots, -\zeta_s; \\ 1 - \eta_1, 1 - \eta_2, \dots, 1 - \eta_{s-1}; \end{matrix} \frac{q_*}{p_*} x \right],$$

a *generalized hypergeometric* function [14].

Of course, we need  $\theta \geq s$ , otherwise the requisite number of derivatives at the origin fails to vanish – note that we need only  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$  in (3.1).

The last result can be somewhat generalized by observing that the degree of either  $P$  or  $Q$  may be strictly less than  $s$  and repeating our analysis without any significant changes.

**Theorem 1** Let  $\alpha_n, \beta_n, \gamma_n, \delta_n \in \mathbb{P}_s[n]$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and suppose further that  $\omega(\cdot, \mu) \in C^s[0, \infty)$  and that  $\partial^\ell \omega(0, \mu) / \partial x^\ell = 0$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, s-1$ . Then there exist nonnegative integers  $s_1, s_2 \leq s$  and real numbers  $\theta, \zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_{s_1}, \eta_1, \eta_2, \dots, \eta_{s_2-1}, \nu$ , all functions of  $\mu$ , such that

$$\omega(x, \mu) = x^\theta {}_{s_1}F_{s_2-1} \left[ \begin{matrix} -\zeta_1, -\zeta_2, \dots, -\zeta_{s_1}; \\ 1 - \eta_1, 1 - \eta_2, \dots, 1 - \eta_{s_2-1}; \end{matrix} \nu x \right]. \quad (3.9)$$

□

We remark that, needless to say, not every  ${}_sF_{s-1}$  function will do in (3.9), since we require  $\omega(x, \mu) \geq 0$  for all  $x \in [0, \infty)$ ,  $\mu_- < \mu < \mu_+$ . Moreover, the more interesting case is when, in addition,  $\omega$  is SSC.

As an illustration of our technique, we consider in detail the case when the quotient (1.4) is linear in  $\mu$ , i.e.  $\gamma_n \equiv 1$ ,  $\delta_n \equiv 0$ , and assume in addition that  $s = 2$ . Therefore

$$\alpha_n = a_0 - a_1 n + a_2 n(n-1), \quad \beta_n = b_0 - b_1 n + b_2 n(n-1), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}^+,$$

and we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_0(\mu) &= a_0 + b_0 \mu, & Q_0(\mu) &\equiv 1, \\ P_1(\mu) &= a_1 + b_1 \mu, & Q_1(\mu) &\equiv 0, \\ P_2(\mu) &= a_2 + b_2 \mu, & Q_2(\mu) &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

In other words,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{p}_0(\mu) &= \left(a_0 + a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2\right) + \left(b_0 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2\right)\mu, & \mathfrak{q}_0(\mu) &\equiv 1, \\ \mathfrak{p}_1(\mu) &= (a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)\mu, & \mathfrak{q}_1(\mu) &\equiv 0, \\ \mathfrak{p}_2(\mu) &= a_2 + b_2\mu, & \mathfrak{q}_2(\mu) &\equiv 0 \end{aligned}$$

and the differential equation becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{4}(a_2 + b_2\mu)x^2 \frac{\partial^2 \omega(x, \mu)}{\partial x^2} + ((a_1 + a_2) + (b_1 + b_2)\mu)x \frac{\partial \omega(x, \mu)}{\partial x} \\ + \left(\left(a_0 + a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2\right) + \left(b_0 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2\right)\mu - x\right) \omega(x, \mu) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

with zero initial conditions at  $x = 0$ . Since

$$P(x) = \mathfrak{p}_0(\mu) + \mathfrak{p}_1(\mu)(x + \theta) + \frac{1}{4}\mathfrak{p}_2(\mu)(x + \theta)(x + \theta - 1)$$

and we require  $P(0) = 0$ , we choose  $\theta = \theta(\mu)$  as a root of the quadratic

$$\frac{1}{4}(a_2 + b_2\mu)\theta^2 + \left(\left(a_1 + \frac{3}{4}a_2\right) + \left(b_1 + \frac{3}{4}b_2\right)\mu\right)\theta + \left(a_0 + a_1 + \frac{1}{2}a_2\right) + \left(b_0 + b_1 + \frac{1}{2}b_2\right)\mu = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

Therefore

$$\eta_1 = 1 - 2\theta - 4\frac{\mathfrak{p}_1}{\mathfrak{p}_2}$$

and  $s_2 = 2$ . On the other hand,  $Q(x) \equiv 1$ , therefore  $s_1 = 0$ . Moreover,  $\nu = 4/\mathfrak{p}_2$  and we deduce from Theorem 1 that

$$\omega(x, \mu) = x^\theta {}_0F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -; \\ 2\theta + 4\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}_2; \end{matrix} \frac{4x}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \right]. \quad (3.11)$$

Recall that a *modified Bessel function* can be represented in the form [14]

$$I_\tau(z) = \frac{\left(\frac{1}{2}z\right)^\tau}{\Gamma(\tau + 1)} {}_0F_1 \left[ \begin{matrix} -; \\ \tau + 1; \end{matrix} \frac{1}{4}z^2 \right].$$

Let us assume that  $\mu_-, a_2, b_2 \geq 0$ . Then, dispensing with a multiplicative factor, we have

$$\omega(x, \mu) = x^{\frac{1}{2} - 2\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}_2} I_{2\theta + 4\mathfrak{p}_1/\mathfrak{p}_2 - 1} \left( 4 \left( \frac{x}{\mathfrak{p}_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right).$$

As long as  $\theta$  is real and  $\geq 1$  we are assured that the initial conditions are satisfied and that  $\omega(x, \mu) \geq 0$  for all  $x \geq 0$ .

Let us consider the special choice

$$a_0 = a_1 = b_0 = b_2 = 0, \quad a_2 > 0, \quad b_1 \neq 0.$$

In other words,  $\alpha_n = a_2 n(n - 1)$  and  $\beta_n = -b_1 n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ . Letting  $\lambda = -b_1 \mu / a_2$ , (3.10) becomes

$$\theta^2 + (3 - 4\lambda)\theta + (2 - 4\lambda) = 0,$$

with the solutions  $\theta = -1$  and  $\theta = 4\lambda - 2$ . Since we require  $\theta \geq 1$ , we choose the second solution and impose  $\lambda \geq \frac{3}{4}$ . The outcome is

$$\omega(x, \mu) = x^{2\lambda - \frac{3}{2}} I_{4\lambda - 1} \left( 4 \left( \frac{x}{a_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right),$$

where  $\mu$  need be restricted consistently with  $b_1\mu + \frac{3}{4}a_2 \leq 0$ . This can be simplified, e.g. by considering the variables

$$\tilde{x} = 4 \left( \frac{x}{a_2} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \tilde{\mu} = \lambda - \frac{3}{4},$$

instead of  $x$  and  $\mu$  respectively. This results in

$$\omega(\tilde{x}, \tilde{\mu}) = \tilde{x}^{\tilde{\mu}} I_{\tilde{\mu} + 1}(\tilde{x}), \quad \tilde{x} \geq 0, \quad \tilde{\mu} > 0.$$

## 4 An inversion formula for a biorthogonal representation

The main result underpinning the transformation (1.5) is Lemma 5 of [5], namely that, as long as

$$\prod_{k=1}^n (x - \mu_k) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (\alpha_j + x\beta_j) \prod_{j=k}^{n-1} (\gamma_j + x\delta_j), \quad (4.1)$$

and the moments of  $\omega$   $\{\mathbf{m}_s(\mu)\}_{s \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$  are consistent with (1.4), the  $n$ th biorthogonal polynomial is

$$p_n(x; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k x^k. \quad (4.2)$$

Our purpose in the present section is to express the coefficients  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_n$  explicitly in terms of the parameters  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n$ . Let

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (x - \mu_k)}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\gamma_k + x\delta_k)}.$$

Then (4.1) yields

$$\tilde{q}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{m}_j(x). \quad (4.3)$$

We let  $\lambda_j = -\alpha_j/\beta_j$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, n$  and observe that, by (1.4),  $\mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell) = 0$  for  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$ . Therefore, letting  $x = \lambda_\ell$  in (4.3), we obtain

$$\tilde{q}_\ell = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} f_k \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell), \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, n, \quad (4.4)$$

where  $\tilde{q}_\ell = \tilde{q}(\lambda_\ell)$ ,  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

We assume that  $\mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell) \neq 0$  for  $\ell > j$ . Letting  $\ell = 0, 1, \dots$  in (4.4), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} f_0 &= \tilde{q}_0, \\ f_1 &= \frac{\tilde{q}_1 - \tilde{q}_0}{\mathbf{m}_0(\lambda_1)}, \\ f_2 &= \frac{\frac{\tilde{q}_2 - \tilde{q}_0}{\mathbf{m}_0(\lambda_2)} - \frac{\tilde{q}_1 - \tilde{q}_0}{\mathbf{m}_0(\lambda_1)}}{\mathbf{m}_1(\lambda_2)} \end{aligned}$$

etc. To obtain the general form of  $f_k$ , we need to generalize the concept of divided differences. Given a sequence  $\{r_\ell\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+}$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} F_0[r_\ell] &= r_\ell, \\ F_k[r_\ell, r_{\ell+1}, \dots, r_{\ell+k}] &= \frac{F_{k-1}[r_\ell, \dots, r_{\ell+k-2}, r_{\ell+k}] - F_{k-1}[r_\ell, \dots, r_{\ell+k-1}]}{\mathbf{m}_{k-1}(\lambda_{\ell+k})}, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 2**  $f_k = F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]$ ,  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ .

*Proof.* By induction on  $\ell$ . The statement is certainly true for  $\ell = 0$  and we assume it for  $\ell - 1$ . Therefore, it follows from (4.4) that

$$\tilde{q}_\ell = \tilde{q}_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_k] \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} \mathbf{m}(\lambda_j) + d_\ell \prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell).$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} f_\ell &= \frac{\tilde{q}_\ell - \tilde{q}_0}{\prod_{j=0}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]}{\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} \\ &= \frac{F_1[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_\ell]}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} - \sum_{k=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]}{\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)}. \end{aligned}$$

We will prove by induction on  $s = 1, 2, \dots, \ell - 2$  that

$$f_\ell = \frac{F_s[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_{s-1}, \tilde{q}_\ell]}{\prod_{j=s}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} - \sum_{k=s}^{\ell-1} \frac{F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]}{\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)}. \quad (4.5)$$

This is certainly true for  $s = 1$  and, assuming that (4.5) is valid for  $s \geq 1$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_\ell &= \frac{F_s[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_{s-1}, \tilde{q}_\ell] - F_s[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_{s-1}, \tilde{q}_s]}{\prod_{j=s}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} - \sum_{k=s+1}^{\ell-1} \frac{F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]}{\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_j)} \\ &= \frac{F_{s+1}[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_s, \tilde{q}_{s+1}]}{\prod_{j=s+1}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_\ell)} - \sum_{k=s+1}^{\ell-1} \frac{F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_k]}{\prod_{j=k}^{\ell-1} \mathbf{m}_j(\lambda_j)}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves that (4.5) is true. We now let  $s = \ell - 2$ , therefore

$$\begin{aligned} f_\ell &= \frac{F_{\ell-1}[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_{\ell-2}, \tilde{q}_\ell] - F_{\ell-1}[\tilde{q}_0, \dots, \tilde{q}_{\ell-2}, \tilde{q}_{\ell-1}]}{\mathbf{m}_{\ell-1}(\lambda_\ell)} \\ &= F_\ell[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_\ell]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3** Let the moments of  $\omega$  satisfy (1.4) and assume that  $\beta_\ell \neq 0$ ,  $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , and  $\alpha_j\beta_\ell \neq \alpha_\ell\beta_j$  for all  $\ell, j \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ ,  $\ell \neq j$ . Set

$$\tilde{q}_\ell = \frac{\prod_{k=1}^n (\alpha_\ell + \beta_\ell \mu_k)}{\prod_{k=0}^{n-1} (\alpha_\ell \delta_k - \beta_\ell \gamma_k)}, \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, n.$$

Then

$$p_n(x; \mu_1, \mu_2, \dots, \mu_n) = \sum_{k=0}^n F_k[\tilde{q}_0, \tilde{q}_1, \dots, \tilde{q}_n] x^k. \quad (4.6)$$

*Proof.* Follows at once from the lemma, since the above definition of  $\{\tilde{q}_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^n$  is consistent with  $\tilde{q}_\ell = \tilde{q}(\lambda_\ell)$ .  $\square$

## References

- [1] C. Brezinski, *Biorthogonality and its Applications to Numerical Analysis*, M. Dekker, New York (1992).
- [2] P.J. Davis, *Interpolation and Approximation*, Blaisdell, Waltham (Mass.) (1963).
- [3] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “Two-step methods and bi-orthogonality”, *Math. Comp.* **49** (1987), 543–552.
- [4] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “On the theory of bi-orthogonal polynomials”, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **306** (1988), 455–474.
- [5] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “Zeros of transformed polynomials”, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **21** (1990), 483–509.
- [6] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “Christoffel–Darboux-type formulae and a recurrence for bi-orthogonal polynomials”, *J. Constr. Approx.* **5** (1989), 437–453.
- [7] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “Biorthogonal polynomials in numerical ODEs”, to appear in *Ann. Num. Maths.*
- [8] A. Iserles and S.P. Nørsett, “Characterization of all zero-mapping transformations of a given form”, DAMTP Tech. Rep. 1994/NA2.
- [9] A. Iserles, S.P. Nørsett and E.B. Saff, “On transformations and zeros of polynomials”, *Rocky Mntn J. Maths* **21** (1991), 331–357.
- [10] A. Iserles and E.B. Saff, “Bi-orthogonality in rational approximation”, *J. Comp. & Appl. Math.* **19** (1987), 77–54.
- [11] A. Iserles and E.B. Saff, “Zeros of expansions in orthogonal polynomials”, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **105** (1989), 559–573.

- [12] S. Karlin, *Total Positivity*, Vol. I, Stanford University Press, Stanford (1968).
- [13] G. Pólya and G. Szegő, *Problems and Theorems in Analysis*, Vol. II, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1976).
- [14] E.D. Rainville, *Special Functions*, Macmillan, New York (1967).